

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,775	02/26/2001	-	10910/3	6334
757 7590 . 01/31/2007 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10395	5		DIXON, THOMAS A	
CHICAGO, IL 60610		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3628	
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	TUC	01/31/2007	PAP	ED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/763,775	TSUTSUI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Thomas A. Dixon	3628				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the second section apport.	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISCONNESS OF THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		ı					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 N</u>	ovember 2006.					
		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>76</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>40-75</u> is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>76</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · ·					
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
	on Papers	· crosson roquironiona					
	•						
<u></u>	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmo-	He)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [_] Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

1. As per applicant's comments regarding the filing of an RCE in response to the final rejection. It is noted that the election/restriction would be reinstated for the same reasons, that the inventions are different.

The proper method for introduction of the restricted claims would be the filing of a Divisional application claiming priority to the present application. An RCE is continued prosecution of the same invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim and specification are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

the term "grasped" in claim 76 is unclear and appears to be idiomatic English.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,775

Art Unit: 3628

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korpela (6,311,054), and further in view of Matsuyama (JP 2000-123084).

As per Claim 76.

Korpela ('054) discloses a user information table for storing information regarding a user of each radio portable terminal, see column 1, line 43 – column 2, line 38 and figures 1-2;

a payment-status management table for managing the status of payment of a predetermined usage fee which each user stored in the user information table must pay for a predetermined period, see column 3, lines 19-45;

a detection section for detecting the status of usage of each application, see figures 1-4, and column 3, lines 8-45 and column 4, line 37- column 6, line 41;

a usage status management table for storing the detected usage status, see column 1, line 43 – column 2, line 38; and

a computation section, see column 5, line 56 - column 6, line 8.

Korpela ('054) does not specifically disclose calculating and outputting a license fee to be paid for each provider stored in the provider information, on the basis of a grand total of usage fees grasped by the payment-status management table and the usage status stored in the usage-status management table.

Matsuyama teaches a storage means for storing data which specify the information and data which indicate an amount to be paid to each of information providers for use of the information and calculation means for calculating the sum total of amounts to be paid to each of the information providers based on the data stored in the storage means, see page 5 (0005) of translation, for the benefit of properly compensating the owners of the intellectual property.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to calculate a grand total of usage fees based on stored data as taught by Matsuyama for the benefit of properly compensating the owners of the intellectual property.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Dixon whose telephone number is (571) 272-6803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas A. Dixon
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3628

January 07